

## CS 356 Virtual Memory Exercises

Redekopp

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Score: \_\_\_\_\_

### Enter Answers on Blackboard.

- 1.) Given a virtual memory system with 32-bit virtual addresses, 16 KB pages, and 36-bit physical addresses answer the following questions:
  - a. (4 pts.) Given a single level page table, how much memory would be required to hold just the table assuming each entry in the table requires 4 bytes (this includes the page frame, valid, dirty and other bits).
  - b. (16 pts.) Given a three level page table where the 1<sup>st</sup> level has 32 entries, the 2<sup>nd</sup> level has 64 entries and the third level contains the rest of the needed entries, show the address bit field breakdown (which bits are used for levels 1, 2, and 3 page tables and which bits are used as the page offset).
  - c. (12 pts.) Assuming 4 byte entries in each level of page table, what is the worst case memory usage (in bytes) required for the 3 level page table system described in the previous part if 10 virtual pages are in use.
  - d. (12 pts.) Assume a 4-way set associative TLB with 128 total entries. Show the mapping (fields) of the virtual address for accessing the TLB. Include the tag, set and page offset fields.

For questions 2-4, assume a 2-way set associative D-TLB (data only, no code pages) with 64 entries and LRU replacement. Also assume a virtual memory system with 32-bit virtual addresses and 4 KB pages.

- 2.) (5 pts.) If the D-TLB entries are initially all empty/invalid, how many unique pages could be referenced before a D-TLB entry *may* be evicted (replaced). Give your reasoning to receive credit.
- 3.) (5 pts.) Now assume a program is run and at a certain point in time all D-TLB entries contain valid translations. What is the maximum **amount of memory** (in bytes) that the program can access w/o causing a TLB miss.

- 4.) Examine the following code operating on three **integer** (word) arrays A, B, and C. Assume the variable *i* is allocated in a register as is the constant `ARRAY_SIZE` and neither requires accessing memory. Further assume the arrays are allocated contiguously (B starts after A's last element, etc.) and the right-hand side (RHS) of the assignment is evaluated from left-to-right (A[i] is accessed first, then B[i], etc.)

```
for(i=0; i < ARRAY_SIZE; i++){  
    A[i] = A[i] + B[i] + C[i];  
}
```

- a. (20 pts.) The worst-case scenario is that all three array translations map to the same set. (Show the TLB address mapping.) What size would the arrays have to be (`ARRAY_SIZE=?`) so that an access to A[i], B[i], and C[i] require different translations but that the translations all map to the same D-TLB set (show the start addresses for A[i], B[i], C[i] to support your answer... There are probably many sizes that would work, pick the smallest. [Remember we are using the configuration described before the previous problems and that each array entry is an integer = word = 4-bytes.]
- b. (10 pts.) After the 0<sup>th</sup> iteration completes which 2 of the three arrays will have translations in the TLB. [Hint: Keep in mind that the D-TLB is 2-way set-associative and uses LRU replacement.]
- c. (12 pts.) Given the situation after the 0<sup>th</sup> iteration, how many D-TLB (translation) misses will be incurred by the next iteration? [Hint: Take into account the evaluation order and what the last values accessed would have been from the previous iteration.]
- d. (4 pts.) By simply rewriting/re-ordering the assignment statement, can you reduce the number of D-TLB misses? Hint: remember we said the RHS is evaluated from left to right and then assigned to the left hand side (LHS).